

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			_		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/026,466	12/27/2001	Shigeo Nishitoba	01USFP707-R.M.	7791	
7	590 06/06/2003				
McGinn & Gibb, PLLC Suite 200 8321 Old Courthouse Road			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, LONG T		
Vienna, VA 22182-3817			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2816	2816	
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/026,466	NISHITOBA, SHIGEO			
Authory Author	Examin r	Art Unit			
·	Long Nguyen	2816			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover shet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 22 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ('condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whi al (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date o b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in onths after the mailing date of the final reje	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>16-26</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to: 7,8,14 and 15					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 9-13</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		·			
8. \boxtimes The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>07 Janu</u> Examiner.	<u>ary 2003</u> is a)⊠ approved or t	o) disapproved by the			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10. Other:		Flor NA			
6/2/03 W		Terry D. Cunningham Primary Examiner			



Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed change in claim 1 changes the scope of the claim and therefore requires further consideration and/or search.